



Indicator: The school's key documents explain the value of social/ emotional competency and how it is enhanced through specific roles and relationships. (F6)

Explanation: The evidence suggests that the Social Emotional Competency promotes self- and social awareness and management, as well as how to make responsible decisions. These skills have been shown to improve academic, social, and behavioral outcomes, especially when both home and school provide positive environments in which these skills can grow. Schools can help families better understand social-emotional skills for their own betterment and to help them work with their children on positive relationships, behaviors, and self-concept. Embedding the Social/Emotional Competency into the school's key documents and then sharing those in meaningful ways can help families and the school community understand the priorities and values of the school.

Questions: Which of the school's documents currently focus on priorities and values? Which documents could be updated to include information about the Social/Emotional Competency? In what ways are teachers, other staff, and the School Community Council supplementing these documents with conversations about expectations and student progress to families?

What is the Social/Emotional Competency?

The Social/Emotional Competency fosters a level of concern and respect for oneself and others strengthening skills of self-management and productive decision-making (Carreker & Boulware-Gooden, 2015; Educator Competencies, 2015; Redding, 2016). Specifically, social-emotional learning (SEL) helps students use their "sense of self-worth, regard for others, and emotional understanding and management to set positive goals and make responsible decisions" (Carreker & Boulware-Gooden, 2015, p. 2). The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) (2012) identifies five primary skills in the Social/Emotional domain:

- Self-awareness: Being able to identify and understand one's own emotions, thoughts, behaviors, and abilities;
- Self-management: Being able to regulate these emotions, thoughts, and behaviors in the pursuit of positive and healthy goals;
- Social awareness: Being able to understand and relate to others, identify social supports and resources, and understand social norms for how to act;
- Relationship skills: Being able to communicate and work well with others and develop positive and meaningful relationships; and
- Responsible decision-making: Being able to make productive decisions about how to behave and interact with others, based on an understanding of norms, consequences, and others' needs.

Weissberg and Cascarino (2013) write that, “SEL programming is based on the understanding that the best learning emerges in the context of supportive relationships that make learning challenging, engaging, and meaningful” (p. 10). Yet many of these skills need to be explicitly taught to children and adolescents, especially as they pertain to the learning process. School staff and volunteers should not only teach these concepts but should also model and provide opportunities for their application throughout the school day, in the context of a safe environment for socializing and learning (Weissberg & Cascarino, 2013; Carreker & Boulware-Gooden, 2015).

The five SEL skills are developed within this positive learning environment, but they are put to use both at school and in the community at large. Research has shown that students who have had training in the Social/Emotional Competency are better students, better citizens, and better employees later in life, with reduced rates of negative or risky behaviors and disciplinary issues (CASEL, 2012; Weissberg & Cascarino, 2013; CASEL, 2015). The Social/Emotional Competency is therefore critical for students of all ages to learn and master; students need a solid foundation in self-concept and empathy for others at an early age, but the ability to navigate “increased independence, peer pressure, and exposure to social media” in adolescent and teenage years is critical for their academic and personal success (CASEL, 2015, p. 6).

Why is it important to focus on Social/Emotional Competency in key documents and conversations?

Much of a student’s self-concept and social skills have their roots outside of the school building. Involving families in Social-Emotional Competency work allows for better coordination between what happens at school and at home and builds positive relationships between families and educators (CASEL, 2012; Weissberg & Cascarino, 2013; CASEL, 2015). Schools can take a number of simple actions to help parents better understand and be able to foster SEL at home.

One way to ensure alignment with the school about the Social/Emotional Competency is to embed it into key communications and documents from the school. These documents include “the school’s mission statement, compact with parents, staff employment manuals, and student handbook” (Redding, 2014, p. 24). Information

about school programs, the school improvement plan, curriculum guides, and the list of policies and procedures are also important places to highlight the Social/Emotional Competency (Redding, 2006; Redding, 2016). In these documents, the school can emphasize its focus on the Social/Emotional Competency, explaining what it is and how it is addressed throughout the school day and year.

When shared publicly, through the school website or at events such as Back to School Night and parent-teacher conferences, families can be better informed about the priorities and values of the school and how they can reinforce them at home (Redding, 2014). Schools must also provide guidance and strategies for parents to use in helping their children in these areas of growth at home (Kraft & Dougherty, 2013). Redding (2006) emphasizes that these constructive conversations around the Social/Emotional Competency help to “build a sense of community around the purposes of the school,” making it clear what each person’s role is in that child’s educational experience (p. 162).

References and resources

- Charmaraman, L., & Hall, G. (2011). School dropout prevention: What arts-based community and out-of-school-time programs can contribute. *New Directions for Youth Development, 2011*(S1), 9–27. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.proxy.library.vanderbilt.edu/pmc/articles/PMC3430132/pdf/nihms400595.pdf>
- Bayerl, K. (2014). *In and beyond schools: Putting more youth on the path to success with integrated support*. Jobs for the Future and the California Advancement Project. Retrieved from http://www.jff.org/sites/default/files/publications/materials/InandBeyond-Schools_041114.pdf
- Beckett, M., Borman, G., Capizzano, J., Parsley, D., Ross, S., Schirm, A., & Taylor, J. (2009). *Structuring out-of-school-time to improve academic achievement: A practice guide* (NCEE #2009-012). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/pdf/practice_guides/ost_pg_072109.pdf
- Carreker, S. & Boulware-Gooden, R. (2015). *The personal competencies: Through the eyes of the classroom teacher*. Center on Innovations in Learning at Temple University. Retrieved from <http://www.centeril.org/>

- resources/PCs_and_the_Teacher.pdf
- Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). (2015). *Effective social and emotional learning programs: Middle and high school edition*. Retrieved from <http://secondaryguide.casel.org/casel-secondary-guide.pdf>
- Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). (2012). *Effective social and emotional learning programs: Preschool and elementary edition*. Retrieved from <http://static1.squarespace.com/static/513f79f9e4b05ce7b70e9673/t/526a220de4b00a92c90436ba/1382687245993/2013-casel-guide.pdf>
- Farrington, C., Roderick, M., Allensworth, E., Nagaoka, J., Seneca Keyes, T., Johnson, D. W., & Beechum N. O. (2012). *Teaching adolescents to become learners. The role of noncognitive factors in shaping school performance: A critical literature review*. Chicago: University of Chicago Consortium on Chicago School Research. Retrieved from [https://raikesfoundation.blob.core.windows.net/media/SA-Rec-Reading-CCSR-Noncog-RF-Full-Report-Revision-\(1.14\).pdf](https://raikesfoundation.blob.core.windows.net/media/SA-Rec-Reading-CCSR-Noncog-RF-Full-Report-Revision-(1.14).pdf)
- Haggerty, K., Elgin, J., & Woolley, A. (2011). *Social-emotional learning assessment measures for middle school youth*. The Raikes Foundation.
- Hartman, T., Good, D., & Edmunds, K. (Fall 2011). *Èxito: Keeping high-risk youth on track to graduation through out-of-school time supports. Afterschool Matters*. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov.proxy.library.vanderbilt.edu/fulltext/EJ980182.pdf>
- Jobs for the Future and the Council of Chief State School Officers. (2015). *Educator competencies for personalized, learner-centered teaching*. Retrieved from <http://www.ccsso.org/Documents/Educator-Competencies-081015-FINAL.pdf>
- Jones, S., Bouffard, S., & Weissbourd, R. (2013). Educators' social and emotional skills vital to learning. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 94(8), 62–65. Retrieved from http://viewpointunlimited.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/Educators_Need-social_and_emotional_article.pdf
- Kraft, M. A. & Dougherty, S. M. (2013). The effect of teacher–family communication on student engagement: Evidence from a randomized field experiment. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 6(3), 199–222. Retrieved from <http://scholar.harvard.edu/mkraft/publications/effect-teacher-family-communication-student-engagement-evidence-randomized-field>
- Kraft, M. & Rogers, T. (2014). *The underutilized potential of teacher-to-parent communication: Evidence from a field experiment*. Harvard Kennedy School. Retrieved from http://scholar.harvard.edu/files/mkraft/files/kraft_rogers_teacher-parent_communication_hks_working_paper.pdf?m=1418184134
- Little, P. (January 2009). *Supporting student outcomes through expanded learning opportunities*. Harvard Family Research Project.
- National Education Association Center for Great Public Schools. (2008). *Closing the gap through extended learning opportunities*. Retrieved from http://www-nf-cis.isea.org/assets/docs/HE/mf_PB04_ExtendedLearning.pdf
- Redding, S. (2006). *The Mega System: Deciding. Learning. Connecting*. Academic Development Institute. Retrieved from <http://www.adi.org/mega/>
- Redding, S. (2013). *Through the student's eyes: A perspective on personalized learning*. Center on Innovations in Learning. Retrieved from http://www.centeril.org/publications/2013_09_Through_the_Eyes.pdf
- Redding, S. (2014a). *Personal competencies in personalized learning*. Center on Innovations in Learning. Retrieved from http://www.centeril.org/publications/Personalized_Learning.pdf
- Redding, S. (2014b). *Personal competency: A framework for building students' capacity to learn*. Center on Innovations in Learning. Retrieved from http://www.adi.org/about/downloads/PC_Framework_rev03.10.15.pdf
- Redding, S. (2016). Competencies and personalized learning. In M. Murphy, S. Redding, & J. Twyman (Eds.), *Handbook on personalized learning for states, districts, and schools* (pp. 3–18). Philadelphia, PA: Temple University, Center on Innovations in Learning. Retrieved from http://www.centeril.org/2016handbook/resources/Redding_chapter_web.pdf
- The Afterschool Alliance. (2009, July). *After-school: Adropout prevention tool*. Retrieved from http://afterschoolalliance.org//documents/issue_briefs/dropout_prevention_brief_38_FINAL%5B1%5D.pdf
- Weissberg, R. & Cascarino, R. (2013). Academic learning + social-emotional learning = national priority. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 95(2), 8-13. Retrieved from <http://static1.squarespace.com/static/513f79f9e4b05ce7b70e9673/t/52e9ce21e4b0ac970820f94d/1391054369190/weissberg-cascarino-phi-delta-kappan.pdf>